

Madera SWRP TAC #1
Kick-off Meeting
August 7th, 2017 2pm
Call in/Virtual “AnyMeeting”

A. Introductions (Aja)

Aja confirmed list of attendees.

1. Eddie Ocampo, SHE
2. Jason Rogers, City of Chowchilla
3. Doug Welch, City of Chowchilla Public works
4. Jay Lowe, Northstar Engineering
5. Jeannie Habben, Madera Watershed Director
6. Keith Helmut, City of Madera
7. Regina Hirsch, SWP (project team)
8. Emily Corwin, Fall Creek Engineering (project team)
9. David Johns, SHE
10. Zaira Lopez, CV RWQCB
11. Harish Bagha, SWRCB
12. Dario Dominguez, Madera County (project team)
13. Aja Bulla-Richards (project team)
14. Gary Conley, 2nd Nature (project team)
15. Julia Berry, Madera County (project team)

B. SWRP Purpose and Goals (Aja)

Review slides (attached).

C. TAC Responsibilities (Aja)

Review slides (attached).

No feedback at this time.

D. Methodology and Project Ranking Criteria (Gary)

Review slides (attached).

No feedback at this time.

E. Project Benefits (Emily)

Review slides (attached).

Emily: Any comments on metric points?

Gary: Written recommendations are also accepted.

No response.

Gary: As ideas percolate, please don't hesitate, we can take more comments throughout the call.

Project score, review slides.

Emily: Comments?

No response.

Emily: Any relative differences between other SWRP's and this one?

No response.

F. Wrap up (Aja)

Review slides (attached).

Aja: Any feedback on roles and responsibilities?

(Mute buttons were having technical difficulties).

Was the process clear today?

Julia: Yes, presentation clear, timeline looks great.

SWRCB: Project prioritization in the front of the process is a good idea, you are on the right track.

Aja: Was there anymore feedback on the weight of water quantity verses water supply?

Gary is there specific feedback for email response?

Gary: Let us open up for discussion on the relative importance of water quantity impacts versus water quality impacts. Can we discuss what problems they are having based on the two criteria. I used groundwater level reduction in the fall, it is my understanding that fall would be a more important time to measure groundwater impacts. Is this the right metric to be using? Your feedback would be most helpful.

Doug: Question of scoring itself. Who would be doing that?

Emily: Our team initially, but it is one of the items being brought back to the TAC for review and input.

Doug: Thank you.

Emily: Doug, being in Chowchilla does the weight of water supply feel right to you?

Doug: It does feel right to me (from water district). Strange that this is all about storm water but flooding from storm water is ranked so low. But I am not saying we should change this I just find it interesting.

Aja: We'd like to ask for feedback on criteria weight from our advisors.

Gary: Yes, on criteria weight and metrics, comments on both would be useful.

Aja: Does that make sense everyone, does anyone have questions?

Aja: Does schedule look like it will work for everyone? Any advice or conflict on schedule?

Emily: Our next TAC August 30/31 is planned as a call-in meeting, but perhaps it will be a face to face with a call-in option. If you have a preference please call it out. (*Reiterated goals for the next meeting*).

Doug: I might have a conflict for August 30th, but can't locate date for it yet.

Aja: Please let us know.

Dario: The County facilities can always host it here.

Aja: I agree with Emily to have an in-person meeting.

Emily: Eddy likes August 30th, but perhaps in the morning. We can send out a survey.

Aja: We can send out a survey monkey or (doodle.)

Aja: *Wrap up/contact info and request for feedback. We will send out the presentation for your review and written feedback. Thank you all for your time.*