IMPLEMENTING THE GSP: MADERA SUBBASIN

Stephanie Anagnoson


Director of Water & Natural Resources

November 5, 2019



Madera Subbasin: 7 GSAs preparing 4 GSPs

See Chapter 1 for Agency Descriptions



Total Subbasin

- ~ 348,000 ac
- ~ 216,000 ac irrigated

Madera County GSA

- ~180,000 ac
- ~85,000 ac irrigated
- Significant acres are 'non-irrigated' (unique to County GSA)

Madera subbasin "consumptive use" [a.K.A. Evapotranspiration of applied water (ETAW)]


See Chapter 2 for more on land use

- Irrigated ag dominates
 - ~460,000 af/yr
- Avg ETAW has increased
 - 1989 = 1.75 af/ac
 - 2015 = 2.13 af/ac
- Urban use is minor
 - City of Madera ~ 4,500 af/yr
 - Rural residential ~ 18,200 af/yr


Land Use	Crop Area (acres)		
Land Ose	1989	2015	
Citrus and Subtropical	6,071	4,512	
Corn	5,266	6,963	
Grain and Hay Crops	5,548	9,118 67,489	
Grapes	69,562		
Idle	32,783	4,198	
Miscellaneous Field, Truck, Decid.	27,480	12,943	
Almonds	21,797	75,006	
Pistachios	14,169	27,189	
Walnuts	1,180	1,157	
Pasture and Alfalfa	30,069	7,581	
Total	213,924	216,158	

Madera Subbasin Joint GSP

Without the GSP



With the GSP



Madera County GSA: Actions detailed in the Joint GSP

See Chapter 4 for projects

Туре	Max Rate and frequency	Estimated Avg. Annual Benefit	
	(Values in acre-feet)		
Recharge along Bypass	30,000 - 40,000 35% of years	10,000 to 15,000	
Recharge in east area	20,000 15%-30% of years	5,000 to 7,000	
Irrigate with surface water in east area	3,000 – 10,000 60%-70% of years	3,000 to 5,000	
Demand reduction	Steady-annual decrease in consumption to 2040	Increase ~4,500/yr (additive) to ~90,000/yr	

Sustainability is based on:

- Significant reduction in demand
- Recharge where feasible (likely with only localized benefits)
- High cost and likely impact to County economy

Projects



Projects

- Potential sources of water:
 - CVP Section 215 water
 - Purchased water from others (CVP, water rights)
 - Pursue new water right
- Locations for direct or in-lieu recharge:
 - East area with water conveyed via Madera Canal and MID/CWD laterals
 - West area with water diverted from Chowchilla Bypass, delivered to bottom-end of MID/CWD laterals, or via streams
- Methods: recharge ponds, Flood-MAR, dry-wells, in-lieu irrigation

Projects

- Pros
- Supplements native groundwater
- Offsets small portion of demand reduction

- Cons
- Requires significant infrastructure that does not yet exist
- Supplies are intermittent
- Benefits may be limited to certain areas in the GSAs

Demand Reduction







Demand Reduction

- Madera County plans to gradually phase-in demand management between now and 2040.
- Starting in 2020 and continuing through 2025, average annual groundwater pumping will be reduced by 2% (of the total demand reduction amount) per year, for a total cumulative reduction of 10% by 2025.
- Groundwater pumping will be reduced by 6% per year starting in 2026 and continuing through 2040.
- However, if Madera County GSA project yields are lower than initially estimated, Madera County GSA will increase the level of demand management.

Madera County GSA's target for demand reduction in Madera Subbasin

- By 2040, crop ETAW will be reduced to about 64% of the current ETAW
 - ~ 90,000 af/yr less
- First 5 year to achieve 10% of target (9,000 af/yr)
 - ~ 2% additive reduction per year
 - ~ 1,800 af/yr
- Remaining time to 2040 to achieve remaining 90% (~81,000 af/yr)
 - ~ 6% additive reduction per year
 - ~ 5,400 af/yr

Current Options for Demand Reduction (These can be combined and modified over time)

- Allocations at the parcel level
 - By crop? By acre? By historic use?
 - No domestic user participation
- Groundwater extraction charges/fees to irrigated agriculture
 - By quantity pumped?
- Parcel-based incentives to limit groundwater use
 - Easements (Annual, 5-10 year, perpetuity)
- Water markets/trading programs (requires an allocation)
- Demand reduction innovations
 - Irrigation variations to affect ET, new crop types, etc.


Things to consider

- Administrative/regulatory burden for grower
- Administrative burden for County GSA
- Individual economics
- County GSA economics
- County economics
- Flexibility and adaptability of approach to modify to assure GSA reduction targets are met

Potential near-term groundwater impacts

See Chapter 4 for Setting of MTs and MOs

- GSAs need time to transition and complete projects and actions – which will lower groundwater levels during implementation
- Planned mitigation for impacts can address concerns of lowered groundwater levels during implementation



GSAs are considering a mitigation program for impacted drinking water wells

See Appendix 3D for Economic Analysis of Immediate Demand Reduction

- 2020/2021: Details developed with stakeholder input
- Possible mitigation actions
 - Replace/lower existing well
 - Connect to community water system
- Possible types of support
 - Low interest loans
 - Grants
- Likely will require well owners to sign up for program

Madera County GSA Layers of Costs

See Chapter 5 for County GSA Admin Costs

- Flood Control Agency serves two subbasins
- County GSA Fee Admin and Planning
- County GSA Project Fee Permitting, Water Purchases and Infrastructure

Madera County GSA current activities

- Water supplies
 - Reclamation contract
 - DWR FloodMAR investigation
- Monitoring, Recording and Reporting
 - Satellite-based analysis for ET baseline
 - Database RFP
 - GSA management and administration funding
- Implementation efforts
 - WaterSmart grant investigating groundwater trading
 - GSA implementation funding

5-year plan

Draft Madera County GSAs "First 5" Implementation Summary for Madera Subbasin and Chowchilla Subbasin								
	Category	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
	GSP	Write GSPs for Madera Subbasin, Chowchilla Subbasin and Delta Mendota Subbasins; Hold meetings/workshops for input	monitoring, data collection, analysis and preparation of State	Annual monitoring, data collection, analysis and preparation of State Report (ongoing)	Annual monitoring, data collection, analysis and preparation of State Report (ongoing)	Annual monitoring, data collection, analysis and preparation of State Report (ongoing)	Annual monitoring, data collection, analysis and preparation of State Report (ongoing)	Prepare 5-year GSP Update; Annual monitoring, data collection, analysis and preparation of State Report (on- going)
	Financing & Revenue	1) Prop 218 Flood Agency funding proceeding (Fall 2019) 2) GSA administrative fee (Fall 2019) 3) Apply for grants (SALC, Prop 68)	Apply for grants Prop 218 proceeding for domestic well mitigation	Apply for grants Prop 218 proceeding for project and demand reduction financing Establish enforcement fines related to parcel-based water use program	1) Apply for grants (on-going) 2) Adjust fees/fines as necessary (on-going)			
	Studies	approaches (gw extraction charge or parcel fee, allocations, water trading, innovation) 3) Design domestic well mitigation program 4) Assess funding opportunities for domestic well mitigation	1) Recharge project feasibility analysis 2) Land easement program development 3) Prepare parcel-based water use history (2020 use) 4) Initiate selected water assessment method 5) Complete WaterSMART water market strategy study 6) Prepare CVP 215 compliance documents	Complete recharge feasibility studies Complete land easement program structure	1) Initiate and complete other stu	udies as necessary (on-going)		
	Projects	pilot program for dairies 2) Pursue CVP contract amendment	Work with DWR for recharge pilot program for dairies CVP contract amendment Acquire CVP 215 water or equivalent, as available	pilot program for dairies 2) CVP contract amendment 3) Acquire CVP 215 water or equivalent, as available	Pursue CVP water (215, contra Acquire additional out-of-subb	ojects per study findings (on-going act water, in-basin transfers, etc.) a asin non-CVP supplies (on-going)	•	ng)
	Sheet1	and education	well mitigation program	Initiate domestic well mitigation program Demand reduction outreach and education Initiate land easement	Domestic well mitigation program (2) Demand reduction outreach are 3) Land easement program (on-gram) Expand water market (on-going 5) Parcel-based water use accounts.	nd education (on-going) oing) g)		

Madera County GSA's planned actions during first 24 months

Financing/Revenue

- Prop 218 Flood Agency funding (Fall 2019)
- GSA Administrative fee

Studies/Programs/Projects

- Undertake WaterSMART water market study
- Prepare parcel-based water use history
- Explore and begin implementing demand reduction approaches
- Evaluate land easement program
- Initiate demand reduction outreach and education

- Prop 218 proceeding for domestic well mitigation
- Continue to apply for grants

- Design domestic well mitigation program and financing
- Assess recharge project opportunities
- Implement cooperative pilot recharge projects
- Pursue CVP contract amendment and position for Section 215 water
- Reduce demand

Discussion