


## **APPENDIX 3.G. MONITORING NETWORK**

Prepared as part of the  
**Groundwater Sustainability Plan**  
**Chowchilla Subbasin**

January 2020

**GSP Team:**

Davids Engineering, Inc  
Luhdorff & Scalmanini  
ERA Economics  
Stillwater Sciences and  
California State University, Sacramento



X:\2018\18-017 Chowchilla GSP Development\GIS\Map files\Report Figures\Figure 3-D-1 Chowchilla Subbasin Supplemental Groundwater Level Monitoring Network.mxd

**FIGURE 3.G-1**

**Supplemental Groundwater Level Monitoring Network**

Table 3.G-1 - Supplemental Groundwater Level Monitoring Network, Chowchilla Subbasin

Well ID	Well Type	Latitude	Longitude	T/R/S	Well Depth	Screen Interval	Earliest Groundwater Level Measurement Date	Most Recent Groundwater Level Measurement Date	Count of Groundwater Level Measurements
09S14E24H	Voluntary	37.1343	-120.3831	9S/14E/24	900	324-828	11/3/2015	3/14/2019	8
09S14E33L	CASGEM	37.1001	-120.4467	9S/14E/33	290	265-285	10/28/2015	3/14/2019	8
09S14E35P	CASGEM	37.1018	-120.4120	9S/14E/35	452	257-447	5/9/2019	5/9/2019	0
09S14E36C001M	Voluntary	37.1124	-120.3952	9S/14E/36			2/9/1967	3/9/2011	83
09S14E36R1	CASGEM	37.1004	-120.3828	9S/14E/36	490	130-270	5/10/2019	5/10/2019	0
09S15E23J	CASGEM	37.1343	-120.2929	9S/15E/23	291		10/17/2016	3/14/2019	6
09S15E23J2	Voluntary	37.1341	-120.2935	9S/15E/23	291	290.5-291	2/23/1968	2/19/2014	85
09S15E27Q001M	Voluntary	37.1149	-120.3202	9S/15E/27			2/25/2013	2/18/2014	2
09S15E28A001M	Voluntary	37.1268	-120.3293	9S/15E/28			10/29/1935	2/22/2010	54
09S15E28A002M	Voluntary	37.1260	-120.3293	9S/15E/28			2/15/1963	2/18/2014	74
09S15E28C	CASGEM	37.1236	-120.3427	9S/15E/28	736	502-722	5/11/2019	5/11/2019	0
09S15E28R001M	Voluntary	37.1132	-120.3335	9S/15E/28			7/6/1939	2/18/2014	133
09S15E30G001M	Voluntary	37.1202	-120.3735	9S/15E/30			11/8/1939	2/22/2010	127
09S15E32R001M	Voluntary	37.0988	-120.3474	9S/15E/32			10/24/1952	2/22/2010	74
09S15E35C001M	Voluntary	37.1127	-120.3057	9S/15E/26			3/4/2013	2/18/2014	2
09S16E15Q001M	Voluntary	37.1418	-120.2110	9S/16E/15			2/6/1980	2/20/2014	56
09S16E16D001M	Voluntary	37.1560	-120.2338	9S/16E/16			1/30/1987	3/5/2010	41
09S16E17F001M	Voluntary	37.1491	-120.2474	9S/16E/17			2/6/1980	2/20/2014	58
09S16E18A	CASGEM	37.1554	-120.2565	9S/16E/18	800	320-762	10/30/2015	3/14/2019	8
09S16E18M001M	Voluntary	37.1474	-120.2741	9S/16E/18			2/6/1980	2/28/2011	56
09S16E19D001M	Voluntary	37.1396	-120.2732	9S/16E/19			2/25/2013	2/19/2014	2
09S16E20E001M	Voluntary	37.1382	-120.2521	9S/16E/20			10/14/1964	2/20/2014	82
09S16E20P002M	Voluntary	37.1296	-120.2477	9S/16E/20			3/7/1969	2/20/2014	83
09S16E29Q001M	Voluntary	37.1149	-120.2468	9S/16E/29			10/10/1941	2/20/2014	49
09S16E29Q002M	Voluntary	37.1149	-120.2474	9S/16E/29			2/21/1958	2/28/2011	73
09S16E32Q	CASGEM	37.1019	-120.2434	9S/16E/32	400	200-400	10/28/2015	3/14/2019	8
09S16E34J001M	Voluntary	37.1021	-120.2049	9S/16E/34			12/3/1959	2/20/2014	106
09S16E36J001M	Voluntary	37.1049	-120.1663	9S/16E/36			11/3/1952	2/20/2014	104
09S17E08F001M	Voluntary	37.1630	-120.1391	9S/17E/08			2/13/2013	2/19/2014	2
09S17E17F001M	Voluntary	37.1491	-120.1388	9S/17E/17			2/6/1980	3/1/2011	55
09S17E18N002M	Voluntary	37.1438	-120.1624	9S/17E/18			2/6/1980	3/1/2011	44
09S17E19L001M	CASGEM	37.1341	-120.1577	9S/17E/19	648	240-620	10/9/1964	3/14/2019	99
09S17E20C	CASGEM	37.1411	-120.1384	9S/17E/20	720	200-720	10/29/2015	3/14/2019	8
10S13E13J001M	Voluntary	37.0582	-120.4927	10S/13E/13			2/8/2012	3/11/2019	9
10S13E22F002M	Voluntary	37.0489	-120.5400	10S/13E/22			1/22/1999	10/16/2018	11
10S13E24L001M	Voluntary	37.0468	-120.5016	10S/13E/24			2/12/1964	3/8/2017	89
10S14E01A001M	Voluntary	37.0977	-120.3852	10S/14E/01			3/1/2013	2/28/2014	2
10S14E01R002M	Voluntary	37.0835	-120.3829	10S/14E/02			2/22/1968	2/28/2014	82
10S14E03A001M	Voluntary	37.0952	-120.4191	10S/14E/03			10/1/1928	2/11/2014	138
10S14E05C003M	Voluntary	37.0955	-120.4646	10S/14E/05			10/6/1976	2/11/2014	66
10S14E06R001M	Voluntary	37.0868	-120.4738	10S/14E/06			10/8/1976	3/11/2019	66
10S14E08B003M	Voluntary	37.0830	-120.4616	10S/14E/08			7/21/1961	2/26/2010	157
10S14E08D	CASGEM	37.0728	-120.4603	10S/14E/08	410	230-360	10/29/2015	3/15/2019	8
10S14E09A003M	Voluntary	37.0799	-120.4374	10S/14E/09			2/22/1968	2/12/2014	81
10S14E10H001M	Voluntary	37.0763	-120.4196	10S/14E/10			2/21/2013	2/12/2014	2
10S14E15H001M	Voluntary	37.0646	-120.4193	10S/14E/15			10/29/1935	2/12/2014	135
10S14E15J001M	Voluntary	37.0618	-120.4232	10S/14E/15			2/1/1999	2/26/2010	17
10S14E15R001M	Voluntary	37.0555	-120.4193	10S/14E/15			11/30/1937	3/1/2011	131
10S14E16F002M	Voluntary	37.0649	-120.4466	10S/14E/16			10/14/1964	2/12/2014	85
10S14E16H001M	Voluntary	37.0641	-120.4402	10S/14E/16			12/28/1950	2/12/2014	110
10S14E17J001M	Voluntary	37.0582	-120.4596	10S/14E/17			2/6/1980	2/12/2014	58
10S14E18D	Voluntary	37.0688	-120.4922	10S/13E/13	516	265-506	10/29/2015	3/15/2019	8
10S14E19A002M	Voluntary	37.0513	-120.4738	10S/14E/19			2/6/1980	2/11/2014	58
10S14E21C003M	Voluntary	37.0543	-120.4471	10S/14E/21			2/6/1980	3/1/2013	58
10S14E21G001M	Voluntary	37.0468	-120.4421	10S/14E/21			2/6/1980	2/21/2013	58
10S14E23A001M	Voluntary	37.0524	-120.4035	10S/14E/23			11/26/1941	2/13/2014	122
10S14E24M	Voluntary	37.0449	-120.3969	10S/14E/24	696	255-636	10/29/2015	3/15/2019	8
10S14E26C002M	Voluntary	37.0396	-120.4104	10S/14E/26			2/18/1963	2/12/2014	91
10S14E26R001M	Voluntary	37.0291	-120.4018	10S/14E/26			2/21/2013	2/28/2014	2
10S14E27H001M	Voluntary	37.0360	-120.4241	10S/14E/27			2/2/1999	2/25/2010	17
10S14E31H001M	Voluntary	37.0182	-120.4746	10S/14E/31			2/8/2012	3/18/2016	5
10S14E32Q001M	Voluntary	37.0110	-120.4632	11S/14E/05			10/16/1961	3/20/2019	93
10S14E33L002M	Voluntary	37.0177	-120.4474	10S/14E/33			2/6/1980	2/12/2014	58
10S14E34M001M	CASGEM	37.0163	-120.4341	10S/14E/34	870	295-850	10/8/2015	10/17/2017	2
10S14E35F001M	Voluntary	37.0216	-120.4110	10S/14E/35			2/13/1964	3/11/2019	133
10S15E03L001M	Voluntary	37.0902	-120.3199	10S/15E/03			2/28/2013	2/18/2014	2
10S15E05B001M	Voluntary	37.0955	-120.3524	10S/15E/05			3/21/1944	2/14/2014	120
10S15E05J-2	CASGEM	37.0906	-120.3483	10S/15E/05	104	84-104	10/29/2015	3/15/2019	8
10S15E05K	CASGEM	37.0885	-120.3528	10S/15E/05	105	85-105	10/29/2015	3/15/2019	8
10S15E05Q	CASGEM	37.0836	-120.3549	10S/15E/05	100	80-100	10/29/2015	3/15/2019	8
10S15E05R	CASGEM	37.0837	-120.3488	10S/15E/05	100	80-100	10/29/2015	3/15/2019	8

Table 3.G-1 - Supplemental Groundwater Level Monitoring Network, Chowchilla Subbasin

Well ID	Well Type	Latitude	Longitude	T/R/S	Well Depth	Screen Interval	Earliest Groundwater Level Measurement Date	Most Recent Groundwater Level Measurement Date	Count of Groundwater Level Measurements
10S15E07Q001M	Voluntary	37.0705	-120.3696	10S/15E/07			12/6/1961	2/25/2010	87
10S15E08C001M	Voluntary	37.0830	-120.3591	10S/15E/08			12/6/1961	2/14/2014	88
10S15E09M001M	Voluntary	37.0743	-120.3441	10S/15E/09			12/6/1961	3/4/2011	89
10S15E11H001M	Voluntary	37.0796	-120.2927	10S/15E/11			2/11/1963	2/14/2014	86
10S15E12C002M	Voluntary	37.0821	-120.2846	10S/15E/12			2/19/2013	2/14/2014	2
10S15E13F	CASGEM	37.0614	-120.2928	10S/15E/14	390	150-390	10/29/2015	3/15/2019	8
10S15E17G001M	Voluntary	37.0649	-120.3554	10S/15E/17			12/6/1961	2/14/2014	89
10S15E18M002M	Voluntary	37.0613	-120.3804	10S/15E/18			3/4/1960	2/14/2014	92
10S15E19F001M	Voluntary	37.0477	-120.3741	10S/15E/19			12/5/1961	2/28/2014	92
10S15E20C004M	Voluntary	37.0527	-120.3571	10S/15E/20			1/12/1979	2/14/2014	61
10S15E21R001M	CASGEM	37.0427	-120.3300	10S/15E/21	600	280-600	10/8/2015	3/28/2019	7
10S15E22D	CASGEM	37.0509	-120.3251	10S/15E/22	800	360-800	10/29/2015	3/15/2019	8
10S15E23K001M	Voluntary	37.0471	-120.3016	10S/15E/23			10/26/1920	2/13/2014	150
10S15E25A001M	Voluntary	37.0396	-120.2746	10S/15E/25			2/19/2013	2/13/2014	2
10S15E26A001M	Voluntary	37.0393	-120.2954	10S/15E/26			3/1/1927	2/13/2014	146
10S15E27D001M	Voluntary	37.0396	-120.3279	10S/15E/27			10/1/1928	3/3/2011	51
10S15E27R001M	Voluntary	37.0255	-120.3107	10S/15E/27			10/1/1928	2/13/2014	144
10S15E29A002M	Voluntary	37.0393	-120.3471	10S/15E/29			1/12/1979	2/13/2014	60
10S15E34L001M	Voluntary	37.0177	-120.3202	10S/15E/34			2/19/2013	2/13/2014	2
10S15E35A002M	Voluntary	37.0252	-120.2971	10S/15E/35			3/6/1969	2/13/2014	80
10S15E35J001M	Voluntary	37.0180	-120.2960	10S/15E/35			2/25/2013	2/13/2014	2
10S15E36A001M	Voluntary	37.0252	-120.2785	10S/15E/36			3/15/2002	3/15/2012	11
10S16E04N001M	Voluntary	37.0857	-120.2341	10S/16E/04			12/22/1934	3/1/2011	131
10S16E05M	CASGEM	37.0884	-120.2554	10S/16E/05	440	240-440	10/30/2015	3/15/2019	8
10S16E06R001M	Voluntary	37.0860	-120.2571	10S/16E/06			3/22/1944	2/21/2014	126
10S16E07K001M	Voluntary	37.0760	-120.2638	10S/16E/07			12/11/1961	2/25/2010	88
10S16E09E001M	Voluntary	37.0802	-120.2343	10S/16E/09			2/19/2013	2/21/2014	2
10S16E15F001M	Voluntary	37.0630	-120.2166	10S/16E/15			2/14/2013	2/20/2014	2
10S16E17C001M	Voluntary	37.0691	-120.2477	10S/16E/08			10/24/1952	2/21/2014	101
10S16E18D002M	Voluntary	37.0685	-120.2727	10S/16E/18			12/5/1961	2/21/2014	89
10S16E20A001M	Voluntary	37.0543	-120.2391	10S/16E/20			3/8/1969	3/2/2010	77
10S16E29A001M	Voluntary	37.0396	-120.2418	10S/16E/29			2/6/1980	2/13/2014	58
10S16E29R002M	Voluntary	37.0288	-120.2393	10S/16E/29			1/19/1979	3/2/2011	58
10S16E30A001M	Voluntary	37.0391	-120.2571	10S/16E/30			11/26/1941	2/28/2014	122
10S16E31J001M	Voluntary	37.0180	-120.2571	10S/16E/31			2/6/1980	2/21/2014	58
10S16E32D002M	Voluntary	37.0241	-120.2529	10S/16E/32			2/6/1980	2/25/2010	55
11S13E01Q001M	Voluntary	36.9988	-120.4952	11S/13E/01			10/16/1961	3/20/2019	94
11S14E01R001M	Voluntary	36.9968	-120.3835	11S/14E/01			10/15/2001	10/15/2010	19
11S14E03G001M	Voluntary	37.0024	-120.4254	11S/14E/03			10/26/2011	2/12/2014	6
11S14E04C001M	Voluntary	37.0105	-120.4479	11S/14E/04			3/13/1959	2/21/2014	91
11S14E04J001M	CASGEM	37.0025	-120.4377	11S/14E/04	900		10/8/2015	3/28/2019	7
11S14E05P	CASGEM	36.9991	-120.4667	11S/14E/05	860	290-840	5/13/2019	5/13/2019	0
11S14E06A	CASGEM	37.0092	-120.4738	11S/14E/06	840	170-230	5/14/2019	5/14/2019	0
11S14E07N001M	Voluntary	36.9821	-120.4885	11S/14E/18			2/13/1964	3/20/2019	67
11S14E08R001M	Voluntary	36.9818	-120.4554	11S/14E/17			10/16/1961	3/20/2019	94
11S14E09A003M	Voluntary	36.9949	-120.4377	11S/14E/09			10/16/1961	3/20/2019	95
11S14E10F	CASGEM	36.9899	-120.4326	11S/14E/10	710	170-690	5/15/2019	5/15/2019	0
11S14E12E001M	Voluntary	36.9893	-120.3974	11S/14E/12			10/5/1976	3/20/2019	68
11S14E12H1	CASGEM	36.9894	-120.3844	11S/14E/12	420		11/2/2015	3/14/2019	8
11S14E13R001M	Voluntary	36.9705	-120.3829	11S/14E/13			2/19/1963	3/13/2019	104
11S14E16A001M	Voluntary	36.9810	-120.4413	11S/14E/16			10/16/1961	3/20/2019	105
11S14E17J001M	Voluntary	36.9710	-120.4579	11S/14E/17			2/9/2012	3/9/2017	7
11S14E25L002M	Voluntary	36.9452	-120.3932	11S/14E/25			10/5/1976	3/13/2019	70
11S14E28C	CASGEM	36.9524	-120.4461	11S/14E/28	840	320-680	5/16/2019	5/16/2019	0
11S14E36R001M	Voluntary	36.9241	-120.3824	11S/14E/36			2/14/1964	3/10/2017	87
11S15E04H001M	Voluntary	37.0052	-120.3288	11S/15E/04			2/19/2013	2/28/2014	2
11S15E06L	CASGEM	37.0022	-120.3929	11S/14E/01	390	120-390	10/30/2015	3/19/2019	8
11S15E09C001M	Voluntary	36.9952	-120.3377	11S/15E/09			3/22/1950	2/13/2014	112
11S15E17P001M	Voluntary	36.9685	-120.3582	11S/15E/17			2/9/2012	3/10/2017	7
11S15E20Q001M	Voluntary	36.9530	-120.3532	11S/15E/20			2/9/2012	3/13/2019	9
11S15E29H001M	Voluntary	36.9457	-120.3482	11S/15E/29			7/28/1949	3/20/2019	105
11S15E30A001M	Voluntary	36.9521	-120.3643	11S/15E/30			2/14/1964	3/13/2019	91
Clayton WD Shallow Ag Well #2	Other	37.0051	-120.5040	11S/13E/01			10/1/2001	4/1/2018	19
Site 1	Future RMS	37.1332	-120.3827	9S/15E/19					
Site 2	Future RMS	37.1811	-120.3254	9S/15E/03					
Site 3	Future RMS	37.1347	-120.2206	9S/16E/21					
Site 5	Future RMS	37.0539	-120.2947	10S/15E/23					
Site 6	Future RMS	37.0148	-120.3833	13S/16E/03					
Site 7	Future RMS	36.9527	-120.3463	11S/15E/20					
Site 9	Future RMS	37.0236	-120.2567	10S/16E/31					
SJRRP_MW-10-78	USBR	36.9817	-120.4978	11S/13E/13	28	43763	4/14/2010	10/31/2018	295

**Table 3.G-1 - Supplemental Groundwater Level Monitoring Network, Chowchilla Subbasin**

Well ID	Well Type	Latitude	Longitude	T/R/S	Well Depth	Screen Interval	Earliest Groundwater Level Measurement Date	Most Recent Groundwater Level Measurement Date	Count of Groundwater Level Measurements
SJRRP_MW-10-80	USBR	37.0018	-120.5081	11S/13E/01	27.9	43763	4/14/2010	7/27/2016	226
SJRRP_MW-11-162	USBR	37.0287	-120.5370	10S/13E/27	30		1/11/2012	10/31/2018	205

*NOTE:*

T/R/S location corresponds to Township/Range/Section grid on Figure A-X

## **APPENDIX 3.H. SUBSIDENCE DATA GAPS WORKPLAN**

Prepared as part of the  
**Groundwater Sustainability Plan**  
**Chowchilla Subbasin**

January 2020  
Revised May 2023

**GSP Team:**

Davids Engineering, Inc (Revised GSP)  
 Luhdorff & Scalmanini (Revised GSP)  
 ERA Economics  
 Stillwater Sciences and  
 California State University, Sacramento

## TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 3, 2023

Project No. 21-1-166

TO: Chowchilla Subbasin GSAs

FROM: LSCE and DE

**SUBJECT: Chowchilla Subbasin Revised GSP (May 2023) - Land Subsidence Workplan**

---

### Introduction and Background

Some areas of the Chowchilla Subbasin (Subbasin) have experienced considerable historical land subsidence, as documented in the Revised Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) for the Subbasin. Much of the historical land subsidence in the Subbasin is believed to be caused by declining groundwater levels or piezometric head within the Lower Aquifer below the Corcoran Clay<sup>1</sup>, and associated release of water from fine-grained sediments, ultimately resulting in compaction of these fine-grained sediments. In other areas of the San Joaquin Valley with long-term historical monitoring of both land subsidence and groundwater levels, land subsidence has been correlated with declining groundwater levels in the Lower Aquifer. Furthermore, considerable lag time between declining groundwater levels and land subsidence has been observed in other areas of the San Joaquin Valley.

Within the Subbasin, limited long-term data are available for land subsidence, including data to evaluate potential relationships between land subsidence and groundwater levels. As a result, there are gaps in the understanding of mechanisms and conditions related to land subsidence in the Subbasin, especially as it relates to how historical groundwater level decline may continue to cause ongoing residual land subsidence in the Subbasin, even as groundwater levels stabilize or rise in the future, as is planned for in the Revised GSP.

The Revised GSP establishes sustainable management criteria (SMC) for land subsidence with consideration of DWR review and input received in the initial GSP consultation letter and the March 2022 inadequate determination. The revised SMC for subsidence are all established as rates of subsidence, measured from subsidence benchmarks, with consideration for the total rate of subsidence. Groundwater levels are no longer used as a proxy for subsidence in the Subbasin.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Corcoran Clay (E-Clay of the Tulare Formation) is a laterally extensive and thick layer of clay present across large areas of the Subbasin and functions as a confining layer hydraulically separating the Upper Aquifer from the Lower Aquifer, where it exists.

Additional characterization of land subsidence conditions – including the relationship between groundwater levels and land subsidence – and evaluation of mechanisms for mitigating future land subsidence are important for ensuring that the GSAs successfully achieve the Subbasin sustainability goal. This Workplan is intended to provide additional field data and technical analyses as input to better characterizing land subsidence for the 2025 GSP Update (and beyond).

The Workplan outlines future efforts intended to address data gaps identified in the Revised GSP through enhanced monitoring and improving understanding of relationships between groundwater conditions and land subsidence in the Subbasin. The workplan also includes tasks to further evaluate sustainable management criteria (SMC) for land subsidence and support development of a coordinated approach to implementation of projects and management actions (PMAs) presented in the Revised GSP to address land subsidence and achieve sustainable groundwater management.

### **Information Summarized in Revised GSP**

As summarized in the Revised GSP, historical documentation of land subsidence in the Subbasin indicated limited land subsidence prior to the early 1970s (see Revised GSP Figure 2-66). More recent monitoring using remote sensing information and data collected from benchmark surveys conducted for the San Joaquin River Restoration Program (SJRRP) has shown an increase in land subsidence in parts of the Subbasin since the mid-2000s. Over the period from 2007 through 2021, cumulative vertical displacement ranged from almost zero to more than six feet, with most land subsidence during this period focused in the western part of the Subbasin (see Revised GSP Figure 2-67). The Revised GSP identifies a Western Management Area (WMA) where greater amounts of historical subsidence have occurred and where more focused management of groundwater is planned to mitigate impacts to critical infrastructure from future land subsidence.

Although there is considerable historical monitoring of land subsidence in the Subbasin, most of this monitoring has occurred at infrequent temporal intervals and with varying spatial resolution and distribution. Historical monitoring of groundwater levels, especially to support understanding of relationships between groundwater levels and land subsidence, has also been limited in most of the Subbasin. The Revised GSP summarizes historical groundwater level and land subsidence monitoring and available data to directly compare groundwater levels and land subsidence (see Revised GSP Figures 2-70a and 2-70b). The available data do not indicate clear and consistent relationships between groundwater levels and land subsidence in the Subbasin, largely because of limitations in the temporal and spatial distribution of available historical monitoring data, and also because of the ongoing occurrence of residual land subsidence, which is discussed more below.

Under the Revised GSP, the GSAs will evaluate land subsidence by monitoring the vertical displacement of the land surface. The land subsidence monitoring network is comprised of elevation benchmark survey points monitored by the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) as part of the SJRRP.

## Data Gaps Identified in Revised GSP

As discussed in the Revised GSP, key aspects of land subsidence in the Subbasin that are not well understood or quantified relate to:

- The mechanisms and conditions causing land subsidence in the Subbasin, including the relationship between land subsidence and declining groundwater levels, especially in the Lower Aquifer, within the local context of the Subbasin; and
- Residual land subsidence, specifically differentiating residual land subsidence caused by historical conditions from new land subsidence caused by current conditions.

Robust land subsidence monitoring coupled with well-defined groundwater level monitoring will be important for tracking the different mechanisms related to land subsidence. Expansion of the land subsidence monitoring network will fill the temporal data gap noted in the Revised GSP and benefit the understanding and monitoring of potential subsidence in the Subbasin.

The Revised GSP noted the potential opportunities and benefits related to improving the understanding of relationships between groundwater levels and land subsidence from:

- Continued monitoring of existing benchmarks, including the many land subsidence benchmarks in the Subbasin that are monitored by the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) as part of the SJRRP, and
- Potential installation of continuous GPS or other monitoring facilities, in conjunction with coupling groundwater level monitoring in the vicinity of sites with historical and ongoing land subsidence monitoring.

## Workplan Objectives and Overview

This Workplan outlines a proposed scope of work to compile and review additional data and reports pertaining to land subsidence in the Subbasin, improve understanding of active production wells, establish or construct additional monitoring facilities, and conduct additional technical analyses. The Workplan incorporates consideration of comments and guidance provided by DWR during the initial GSP review and consultation stages. The purpose of this scope of work is to provide sufficient data and analyses to:

- Enhance monitoring and understanding of relationships between land subsidence and groundwater levels at different depths within the western part of the Subbasin;
- Expand land subsidence monitoring network throughout Subbasin (i.e., new elevation benchmark survey points);
- Refine mapping of geologic conditions related to land subsidence, including the thickness and extent of the Corcoran Clay and other clay units;
- Improve quantification of groundwater pumping within each of the two principal aquifers (Upper and Lower Aquifer);
- Develop estimates of the amount of expected residual land subsidence caused by historical groundwater conditions that cannot be avoided;
- Assess the adequacy of the Revised GSP PMAs and sustainable management criteria (SMC) to address undesirable results related to land subsidence in the Subbasin; and

- Provide technical analyses to support the development of approaches to managing pumping in the WMA to mitigate additional future land subsidence through shifting groundwater pumping from the Lower Aquifer to the Upper Aquifer in conjunction with enhanced recharge efforts, in accordance with the GSP.

## Scope of Work

The scope of work involved in completion of this Workplan includes seven main tasks, including collection and analysis of existing data (beyond data compiled for the Revised GSP) and review of data gaps, installation of new monitoring facilities and collection of additional field data, completion of additional technical analyses, stakeholder outreach, and preparation of an updated assessment of the adequacy of the Revised GSP SMC and PMAs to address land subsidence. The scope of work to implement the Workplan is described in more detail below. Implementation of the potential work set-forth herein is predicated on Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA) approval and allocation of the necessary funds as may be required (local funding and/or grants).

### ***Task 1: Compile Additional Existing Data and Update Assessment of Available Data***

#### Compile and Review Supplemental Existing Data

In this task, data collected during preparation of the Revised GSP will be supplemented with other newly available data related to groundwater levels and land subsidence in the Subbasin and surrounding areas, with specific focus on the WMA. Available supplemental data may include the following:

- information presented in GSPs for other subbasins;
- data related to the Subsidence Control Measures Agreement (Subsidence Agreement) between certain landowners in the WMA of the Subbasin, the Central California Irrigation District (CCID), and San Luis Canal Company;
- new data from specific local landowners or entities previously not available for incorporation into the Revised GSP;
- DWR Well Completion Reports (WCRs) for the WMA;
- additional data compiled by USBR for the SJRRP for areas in the Subbasin;
- additional data from the United States Geological Survey (USGS) and modeling information for their study of the San Joaquin River; and
- other reports and data.

The available data will be compiled and reviewed to inform subsequent field work (Task 2) and used as inputs for technical analyses (Task 3). This task can be performed in coordination with similar efforts planned as part of implementation of the Interconnected Surface Water (ISW) Workplan proposed for the Subbasin.

## AEM Data

Data from airborne electromagnetic (AEM) surveys conducted in Spring 2022 to support additional characterization of subsurface conditions in the Subbasin and surrounding areas are expected to be available around the end of 2022. AEM data can provide helpful information on hydrogeologic conditions through measurements of the resistivity of subsurface materials. These surveys have the potential to improve the understanding of the configuration and composition of different subsurface materials. To the extent that AEM data were collected in the Subbasin and within the WMA, these data will be evaluated for their potential usefulness in helping to supplement the delineation of stratigraphy, especially within the Lower Aquifer where most of the historical and ongoing compaction is believed to occur. A quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) analysis of the data will be conducted by comparing AEM hydrostratigraphic interpretations to existing and new field data collected as described in this Workplan and in coordination with efforts related to implementation of the ISW Workplan developed for the Subbasin. Lithologic data from borehole logs along AEM section lines will be compared to evaluate if AEM interpretations are consistent with field data. If AEM data interpretations are found to be consistent and the resolution of stratigraphic interpretations from the AEM data are sufficient, the AEM data will be combined with field borehole lithologic data to develop refined characterization of subsurface geologic materials and stratigraphic configuration, including the depth and thickness of prominent clay layers, including the Corcoran Clay.

### ***Task 2: Complete Additional Field Work***

Enhancements to groundwater level monitoring facilities and activities, specifically within the WMA and in proximity to sites with historical land subsidence monitoring (e.g., SJRRP benchmarks) and planned future land subsidence monitoring, are important for improving the understanding of the relationships between groundwater levels and land subsidence across the Subbasin. Developing continuous groundwater level monitoring at finer temporal scales and at different depths in key areas where land subsidence monitoring is conducted will support understanding of the relationship between groundwater levels at different depths and any associated land subsidence, and will help differentiate residual land subsidence caused by historical groundwater conditions from active land subsidence related to current and future conditions. Instrumentation of suitably-located existing wells and installation of additional dedicated monitoring wells are two approaches that will be pursued to enhance the groundwater level monitoring in key areas for relating with land subsidence. Use of existing wells provides a cost-effective approach to enhancing the groundwater monitoring program and can reduce the need for installation of new monitoring wells, which can be more costly.

Historical and current land subsidence monitoring in the Subbasin consists of periodic benchmark surveys and remote sensing data collection. These land subsidence monitoring techniques do not differentiate the depth interval at which land subsidence is occurring. Data from nearby land subsidence monitoring sites near Mendota conducted with a combination of extensometer readings and continuous GPS readings together with data from land subsidence monitoring across the San Joaquin Valley suggests the inelastic compaction that is leading to land subsidence is likely occurring in fine-grained materials within the Lower Aquifer. Field work to install land subsidence monitoring facilities at a key location in the Subbasin would

benefit the understanding of how compaction at different depth zones (i.e., Upper and Lower Aquifer) contributes to the total land subsidence occurring in the Subbasin.

## Identification and Instrumentation of Existing Wells

This task will include identifying and prioritizing existing wells in key land subsidence monitoring locations for instrumentation with automated continuous groundwater level monitoring equipment. Potential use of existing wells to enhance groundwater level monitoring in key areas of interest, especially near SJRRP land subsidence monitoring benchmarks, will be considered as the first step in efforts to improve groundwater monitoring for developing information to inform the assessment of the dynamics between groundwater levels and land subsidence. Use of existing wells for groundwater level monitoring is a cost-effective way to monitor groundwater conditions for the purpose of relating to land subsidence. This task involves working to identify existing wells with suitable well construction characteristics (e.g., well depth, screen interval) in key areas of interest for potential instrumentation and continuous groundwater level monitoring. Existing wells of interest for instrumentation in key areas will target wells completed (screened) within the Lower Aquifer since land subsidence in the Subbasin is believed to be primarily a result of lowered groundwater levels in the Lower Aquifer. However, wells representing conditions within the Upper Aquifer will also be considered as potential opportunities to evaluate any relationships between groundwater levels in the Upper Aquifer and observed land subsidence. Additionally, existing wells should be evaluated for inclusion in the land subsidence monitoring network. Wells that would be beneficial for inclusion in this network should be surveyed on a bi-annual basis. The identification and instrumentation of existing wells will enhance the understanding of relationships between groundwater levels and land subsidence for the purpose of evaluating land subsidence SMC and use of groundwater levels as a proxy, as defined in the Revised GSP. Furthermore, this work will also support enhancements to the Subbasin's RMS network (if necessary and beneficial) and other ongoing groundwater level monitoring activities used to support GSP annual reporting efforts in the future.

The task involves working with the owners of suitable wells in key monitoring areas to prioritize and implement instrumentation of existing wells with automated pressure transducers for collecting continuous groundwater level data to limit the need for constructing new monitoring wells. As part of this task the feasibility and benefits of instrumenting RMS wells that are already part of the GSP groundwater level monitoring network will be considered. It is assumed for purposes of estimating the cost of implementing the Workplan that a total of up to four existing wells (RMS and other) will be identified and selected for instrumentation.

## New Monitoring Facilities

This task will identify and install new monitoring wells and new land subsidence monitoring facilities in key areas of the Subbasin where data gaps exist. Providing robust coupled groundwater level and land subsidence monitoring is important in establishing appropriate groundwater level metrics as a proxy for land subsidence. The presence of critical surface infrastructure in the WMA also warrants enhanced monitoring of groundwater conditions in this area. Enhancements to existing land subsidence monitoring in the Subbasin also have the objective of differentiating land subsidence by depth zone, and would also

benefit the understanding of relationships between groundwater levels and land subsidence. Current and continuing land subsidence monitoring being conducted by DWR using remote sensing and also as part of the SJRRP benchmark surveying provide broad spatial and temporal coverage of land subsidence, although they do not differentiate the depth where land subsidence is occurring and relationships to groundwater levels. Proposed field efforts related to addressing these objectives are described in more detail below.

### *New Monitoring Wells*

Monitoring wells are recommended for installation at five locations based on considerations related to locations of critical infrastructure, historical land subsidence, existing SJRRP benchmark survey sites, and existing groundwater level monitoring (especially groundwater level RMS and dedicated monitoring well locations). These monitoring wells will augment existing groundwater level monitoring for enhanced monitoring of groundwater conditions in key areas of the Subbasin and to support improved understanding of the dynamics between groundwater levels and land subsidence. Four proposed locations are within the WMA where the greatest amount of historical land subsidence has occurred in the Subbasin. One additional proposed location is more central to the Subbasin, and although located outside of the WMA, is in an area where more than four feet of land subsidence has been measured since 2007 and where dedicated groundwater level monitoring facilities in proximity to an existing SJRRP benchmark survey site will provide high-resolution monitoring of groundwater level conditions within different parts of the groundwater system to support sustainable groundwater management objectives. New monitoring wells will be designed to include nested monitoring wells for independent monitoring of conditions in the Upper and Lower Aquifers. The new monitoring wells are anticipated to extend to depths of approximately 700 to 800 feet and consist of three independent casing strings screened at different depths, depending on unique site conditions. Additionally, new monitoring wells should be surveyed upon installation, and on a bi-annual basis, for inclusion in the land subsidence monitoring network. Preliminarily identified priority locations for potential new nested wells are shown in **Figure 1** along with key information considered in preliminary site identification. Final site selection will consider the outcome from review of additional data and evaluation of site suitability relating to access for construction and ongoing monitoring.

The monitoring wells are planned to be drilled using the direct rotary drilling method with sediment samples collected every ten feet and downhole geophysical logging completed over the entire depth of the boreholes. A lithologic log of the borehole will be prepared based on samples collected and results from geophysical logging under the supervision and guidance of a Professional Geologist, who will also provide recommendations regarding well construction details such as depth intervals for placement of well screen, filter pack, blank casing, and surface sanitary seal. Preliminarily, the new monitoring wells are planned to be constructed using 2-inch diameter Schedule 40 PVC materials, which will enable installation of automated groundwater level monitoring instrumentation and also provide access for groundwater quality sampling equipment. The new monitoring wells, and any existing wells instrumented as described above, will be surveyed to a consistent elevation datum. Water quality samples will be collected from the new monitoring wells for the purpose of characterizing general geochemical conditions, and they will be outfitted with pressure transducers for ongoing automated collection of groundwater level data.

### *New Land Subsidence Monitoring Facilities*

One location for potential installation of new land subsidence monitoring facilities has been identified along the Eastside Bypass within the WMA. The new land subsidence monitoring facilities would be intended to track land subsidence conditions with high-quality and continuous monitoring of land subsidence at one of the new monitoring well sites through installation of facilities for continuous Global Positioning System (GPS) monitoring or depth-specific monitoring of compaction using an extensometer. The possible location of this potential land subsidence monitoring site is shown on **Figure 1**. The planning of this site should be coordinated with other land subsidence monitoring efforts occurring in the area, including work being conducted as part of the Subsidence Agreement between certain landowners in the WMA of the Subbasin, CCID, and San Luis Canal Company. Furthermore, planning of this monitoring facility should include coordination with other potential cooperators, including the USGS, the California Department of Water Resources (DWR), USBR, and any other interested entities. There may be opportunities to support these monitoring facilities through acquisition of grants or technical support services provided by DWR or through other avenues. The details of the potential land subsidence monitoring facilities should be developed in coordination with any cooperators and with consideration of any new data compiled and evaluated as part of implementation of this Workplan.




Figure 1. Potential New Nested Monitoring Well and Land Subsidence Monitoring Sites

### ***Task 3: Technical Analyses***

In this task, technical analyses will be conducted to synthesize the available information on dynamics between groundwater levels and land subsidence in the WMA by evaluating fluctuations in groundwater levels and land subsidence and by evaluating relationships between groundwater pumping and land subsidence. This task will be completed in coordination with and utilizing new information from compilation of additional available data (Task 1) and field work related to additional monitoring and characterization of groundwater conditions and land subsidence (Task 2).

Field and monitoring data will be evaluated relative to the relationship between groundwater levels in both the Upper and Lower Aquifers and land subsidence and consideration of ongoing residual land subsidence. Available information suggests that the lack of clear and consistent relationships between groundwater levels and land subsidence may be partly a result of the continued residual land subsidence resulting from historical conditions. Analyses presented in the Revised GSP based on the limited available historical data suggest that there is limited correlation between shorter-term groundwater level changes and land subsidence, although historical groundwater conditions and the persistence of conditions over longer periods may be the most important drivers related to land subsidence.

Technical analyses will also include conducting refinements to existing available information on the mapped extent and thickness of the Corcoran Clay and other clay layers. This task will involve review of new lithologic information collected through construction of new monitoring wells, including new wells installed at key land subsidence monitoring sites as described in Task 2, and also wells constructed for the ISW Workplan and for other the Subbasin monitoring efforts conducted through Proposition 1 and Proposition 68 grant projects. The ability to incorporate any data developed from the AEM surveys conducted by DWR in the Subbasin will also be considered in these refinements.

### ***Task 4: Groundwater Modeling (in Conjunction with 5-Year GSP Update)***

Distinguishing between residual land subsidence resulting from historical groundwater conditions and active land subsidence caused by current conditions is a challenging aspect of evaluating appropriate metrics for ensuring sustainable groundwater management. Modeling techniques represent one of the most robust approaches available for evaluating this aspect of groundwater conditions. Key objectives of the modeling to be conducted under this task include 1) estimating the amount, rate, and duration of residual land subsidence likely to occur resulting from historical groundwater conditions, and 2) estimating total anticipated future land subsidence. Additional modeling to be completed as part of Task 7 will assist in evaluating approaches and mechanisms for ensuring groundwater sustainability is achieved in accordance with the Revised GSP through transitioning of groundwater pumping from the Lower Aquifer to the Upper Aquifer in coordination with projects planned to augment water supplies and enhance recharge. The modeling planned for completion as part of this Workplan is separate from, but will occur in coordination with, other modeling efforts to be completed for the purpose of the first five-year update of the Revised GSP, which are anticipated to focus on general updates to the numerical groundwater flow model developed to support preparation of the GSP (MCSim) involving extending the historical simulation period, updating hydrology, and updating simulations of PMAs included in the Revised GSP.

Two potential modeling approaches would help in evaluating historical and projected land subsidence in the Subbasin and differentiation of residual land subsidence from active land subsidence.

The first modeling approach involves using MCSim. At the time the GSP was initially developed, MCSim did not include the ability to simulate subsurface compaction or land subsidence because the Integrated Water Flow Model (IWFM) modeling platform, upon which MCSim is built, did not include this capability. The ability to simulate land subsidence has since been added to IWFM. The modeling task will include implementing the IWFM subsidence module in MCSim and conducting updated model scenarios to evaluate historical and projected future land subsidence. Groundwater modeling scenarios would be conducted with the primary purpose of estimating future land subsidence under varying future conditions, including varying levels of groundwater pumping and under conditions with and without PMAs described in the Revised GSP.

Updating MCSim with the subsidence module and refined information on active production well distribution developed in previous tasks will improve the accuracy of all model simulations. The MCSim modeling efforts will assist further in understanding the relationship between groundwater levels and land subsidence, both within the Upper Aquifer and Lower Aquifer, continued assessment of mechanisms to limit future land subsidence and avoid adverse impacts, and evaluation of land subsidence SMC to ensure they are appropriate for defining sustainable groundwater management.

The second modeling approach would consider more simplified, one-dimensional modeling techniques to better quantify residual and active land subsidence in localized areas. Such modeling approaches would support a better understanding of site-specific subsidence mechanisms and projections and could assist in evaluation of the use of groundwater levels as an appropriate proxy for land subsidence, although this modeling method presents limitations with respect to the ability to simulate groundwater conditions at the subbasin scale. One-dimensional modeling would complement the use of MCSim to estimate residual land subsidence; the need for this additional modeling will be evaluated during the course of implementation of the Workplan and evaluation of results from technical analyses and MCSim modeling efforts. However, one-dimensional modeling is included for the purpose of estimating the level of effort to implement this Workplan.

### ***Task 5: Stakeholder Outreach and Interbasin Coordination***

Implementation of the Workplan should involve outreach and coordination with key stakeholders and interested parties. This would include communication with stakeholders associated with critical infrastructure in and around the WMA. Outreach efforts should focus on efforts related to the need and benefit from additional groundwater level or land subsidence monitoring and prioritization of efforts to expand monitoring. An additional objective of outreach efforts includes coordination related to the understanding of critical land subsidence thresholds related to damage to infrastructure or other adverse impacts to infrastructure. Furthermore, outreach efforts may also benefit considerations related to the feasibility of potential PMAs to achieve sustainability. Outreach and coordination with adjacent subbasins about land subsidence issues will be a continuing aspect of tracking of groundwater level and land subsidence conditions.

### ***Task 6: Assess the Adequacy of Revised GSP SMC***

An important outcome from efforts conducted as part of this Workplan will be an assessment of the adequacy of current land subsidence SMC and the need for any revisions to these SMCs as part of updates to the Revised GSP. The assessment will consider data and analyses developed through implementation of Tasks 1 through 5 of the Workplan and relationships between groundwater levels and land subsidence and the differentiation of residual land subsidence from new active subsidence established through that work. The continued occurrence of residual land subsidence is an important consideration related to how land subsidence SMC are established for the Subbasin, since impacts from residual land subsidence are largely unavoidable. The amount of residual land subsidence is something the Revised GSP cannot address or prevent, although the Revised GSP does outline an approach to minimize future land subsidence in an effort to avoid adverse impacts.

The review and assessment of SMC completed under this task will inform decisions on revisions to land subsidence SMC for incorporation in updates to the Revised GSP. Future GSP updates will draw upon the most recent data and technical analyses developed through implementation of this Workplan with consideration for the complexities of the impacts of residual land subsidence resulting from historical conditions that preceded submittal or implementation of the Revised GSP. The outcomes from assessment of SMC will be summarized in deliverable documents to be prepared as part of Task 8. Some data generated through the completion of the field activities outlined in the Workplan may not be available until after completion of the five-year update of the GSP. As described in the scope of work for Task 8, an initial assessment of the SMC will be conducted to support the five-year update of the GSP to be completed by January 2025. A subsequent deliverable document prepared after completion of all field work will include a summary of all Workplan activities.

### ***Task 7: Technical Support for Development of a Strategy for Managing Groundwater Pumping and Recharge in the WMA***

An integral aspect of achieving sustainability in the Subbasin involves mitigating future land subsidence to the extent possible, which will require management of groundwater pumping in coordination with enhancing groundwater recharge in the WMA. Task 7 of the Workplan will involve efforts to refine the understanding of existing groundwater pumping and the vertical and lateral distribution of pumping in the WMA as the foundation for developing management approaches to mitigate additional land subsidence caused by pumping. These efforts will include inventorying existing active wells in the WMA through desktop review and field verification coupled with technical analyses to support groundwater management planning in the Subbasin related to reducing pumping from the Lower Aquifer with the intent to mitigate future land subsidence. Key components of this effort are described below.

#### **Desktop Inventory of Wells in WMA**

Documentation of active production wells in the WMA is important for accurately assessing and planning groundwater management activities as they relate to mitigating land subsidence. Achieving groundwater sustainability in the Subbasin, especially in relation to land subsidence, involves ensuring that groundwater extractions do not cause chronic lowering of groundwater levels, especially in the Lower Aquifer. Accurately documenting the locations and construction characteristics of active production wells in the WMA will provide important information for ensuring appropriate management actions are

developed and implemented to address this issue. A desktop evaluation of available information on active production wells in the WMA will seek to identify likely active production wells and their construction characteristics, especially as they relate to screened interval and zone of production. The desktop well inventory will utilize data from WCRs, well permits, or other sources and will outline appropriate field work activities to be completed as part of Task 2 to refine information developed through the desktop inventory. To the extent possible, this task will coordinate with work being conducted for the ISW Workplan and will build on previous evaluations performed during the initial development and later revision of the GSP and the domestic well inventory completed as part of a Proposition 68 grant project for the Subbasin along with ongoing mapping of wells conducted by the GSAs.

### Field Survey of Active Production Wells in WMA

The desktop inventory of active production wells in the WMA will provide the basis for outlining field work efforts to refine or confirm the information developed from the desktop inventory (Task 1). The surveying of active production wells in the WMA is anticipated to include targeted efforts to in the WMA utilizing outreach and field reconnaissance. The field survey will likely focus on areas with higher well densities and/or greater levels of uncertainty relating to existing well status and construction characteristics as informed by evaluation of available data and results from the desktop inventory. The field survey may include focused review of aerial photographs, personal communication with landowners, field visits or other field reconnaissance activities, and other approaches to refine information developed from the desktop inventory.

### Refined Analyses of Pumping Distribution in the WMA

Using information assembled through the well inventory tasks in conjunction with data related to groundwater demands derived from groundwater modeling and other water budget analyses, refinements to the assessments of the volumes and spatial distribution (laterally and vertically) of pumping in the WMA will be conducted. The primary objective of this task will be to refine estimates of the amount of groundwater pumping that is occurring in the Lower Aquifer as it relates to the sustainability planning for the Subbasin. The results from this task will inform efforts related to assessing PMAs planned to achieve groundwater sustainability.

### Evaluation of Scenarios for PMA Implementation to Mitigate Land Subsidence

Task 7 will include conducting evaluations of approaches and mechanisms for redistributing pumping in the WMA in a manner that is consistent with sustainability goals and metrics defined in the Revised GSP, analysis of costs and other considerations relating to the feasibility of different approaches, and assessing the timing needs associated with implementation of potential management actions. These technical analyses will consider the lateral distribution of pumping within the WMA and the vertical distribution between the Upper and Lower Aquifers. A key aspect of these technical analyses will involve consideration of management approaches that recognize the existing or planned groundwater recharge efforts, which focus on enhancing recharge in the Upper Aquifer, to achieve a distribution of groundwater pumping in the WMA that is consistent with sustainability objectives defined in the Revised GSP. Numerical groundwater modeling will be used to test PMA implementation scenarios and evaluate the effectiveness of different implementation strategies for mitigating land subsidence in the WMA.

## Prepare a Technical Summary Document to Inform Policymaking

A technical summary document will be provided at the conclusion of this task for use by the GSAs in developing management strategies in the WMA to mitigate future subsidence. This document will synthesize technical information developed through completion of the task (and information available from completion of other tasks outlined in the Workplan) relating to how much, where, and when PMAs may be appropriate to achieve necessary reductions of Lower Aquifer pumping in the WMA and potential mechanisms to achieve these reductions. The goal is to provide the GSAs with a technical basis for their development of policies and a plan related to implementation of PMAs to avoid undesirable results related to land subsidence in the WMA. The assessment conducted under this task will consider the importance of developing a strategy that coordinates the management of groundwater pumping in the WMA in conjunction with enhanced groundwater recharge efforts. The technical summary document for Task 7 will be provided as an interim deliverable intended to support the GSA development of management policies, which may need to be implemented prior to the completion of the entire Workplan.

### ***Task 8: Prepare a Technical Memorandum or Report***

A technical memorandum (TM) or report will be prepared to document all the tasks completed as part of implementation of this Workplan. An interim TM/Report deliverable will be prepared to inform the five-year GSP update efforts occurring prior to January 2025. A Final TM/Report will be prepared and submitted at the time of completion of all field work outlined in the Workplan. For each of the deliverables prepared as part of Task 8, a draft TM/Report will be submitted to the GSAs (and their technical representatives) for review. Comments and suggested edits received from the GSAs will be reviewed and incorporated as appropriate into final versions of the deliverable documents. The deliverable documents will include documentation of all data compiled, field work completed, technical analyses performed, modeling results, and evaluation of the nature of relationships between groundwater levels and land subsidence, and recommended updates to the Revised GSP SMC and potential management actions to ensure sustainable groundwater management is maintained in the Subbasin. In addition, the deliverable documents will include a review and summary of any remaining data gaps and recommendations for future monitoring and assessment, as needed.

## Schedule

The overall implementation of this Workplan is envisioned as a longer-term effort to develop important monitoring data and facilities for tracking and understanding groundwater conditions related to land subsidence in the Subbasin. However, several tasks are intended to support shorter-term objectives, including the development of a plan for managing groundwater pumping and recharge in the WMA. Additional tasks are geared towards completion in time for incorporation into the first five-year update of the Revised GSP. However, some tasks described in the Workplan will likely extend beyond January 2025, including ongoing data collection. These longer-term tasks include field work involving installation of monitoring facilities, which should be phased with consideration of funding and cooperation from other entities needed to support these efforts. Implementation of the Workplan is planned to start in 2023 with commencement of the additional data review and compilation task. Similarly, field work is also planned to begin in 2023, primarily with well inventory survey efforts and review of opportunities to instrument

existing wells. Planning related to field work including the installation of new dedicated monitoring facilities for groundwater levels and land subsidence should begin in early 2023, although the completion of this work is anticipated to extend over multiple years. As a result, not all of the field work described in this Workplan is anticipated to be completed prior to January 2025 when the first five-year update of the Revised GSP is to be submitted. A general planned schedule for implementation of the Workplan is outlined below in Table 1.

<b>Table 1. Summary of Proposed Schedule for Implementation of the Land Subsidence Workplan</b>		
<b>Task No.</b>	<b>Task Description</b>	<b>Task Completion Timeframe</b>
1	Compile Additional Existing Data and Update Assessment of Available Data	Mid 2023 - Late 2023
2	Complete Additional Field Work	Late 2023 - 2026+ (field work may be phased depending on available funding)
3	Technical Analyses	Mid 2023 - Late 2024
4	Groundwater Modeling (in Conjunction with 5-Year GSP Update)	Early 2024 - Late 2024
5	Stakeholder Outreach and Interbasin Coordination	Early 2023 - Late 2024+
6	Assess the Adequacy of Revised GSP SMC	Late 2023 - Late 2024
7	Technical Support for Development of a Strategy for Managing Groundwater Pumping and Recharge in the WMA	Early 2023 - Early/Mid 2024
8	Prepare a Technical Memorandum or Report	Mid 2024 - Late 2024 for interim deliverable; 2026+ for final deliverable